**Arabidopsis J-Protein J20 Delivers the First Enzyme of the Plastidial Isoprenoid Pathway to Protein Quality Control**
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Plastids provide plants with metabolic pathways that are unique among eukaryotes, including the methylenecrotonyl 4-phosphate pathway for the production of isoprenoids essential for photosynthesis and plant growth. Here, we show that the first enzyme of the pathway, deoxyxylulose 5-phosphate synthase (DXS), interacts with the J-protein J20 in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J-proteins typically act as adaptors that provide substrate specificity to heat shock protein 70 (Hsp70), a molecular chaperone. Immunoprecipitation experiments showed that J20 and DXS are found together in vivo and confirmed the presence of Hsp70 chaperones in DXS complexes. Mutants defective in J20 activity accumulated significantly increased levels of DXS protein (but no transcripts) and displayed reduced levels of DXS enzyme activity, indicating that loss of J20 function causes posttranscriptional accumulation of DXS in an inactive form. Furthermore, J20 promotes degradation of DXS following a heat shock. Together, our data indicate that J20 might identify unfolded or misfolded (damaged) forms of DXS and target them to the Hsp70 system for proper folding under normal conditions or degradation upon stress.

**INTRODUCTION**

A complex network of biosynthetic pathways in different subcellular compartments supports plant life. In particular, plastids provide a number of unique metabolic pathways among eukaryotes, including the methylenecrotonyl 4-phosphate (MEP) pathway for the production of isoprenoid precursors. MEP-derived isoprenoids (Figure 1) include compounds essential for photosynthesis (such as carotenoids and the side chain of chlorophylls, tocopherols, plastoquinone, and phylloquinone) and growth regulation (including the metabolism of gibberellin, cytokinin, strigolactone, and abscisic acid). The importance of the MEP pathway extends beyond its essential role for plant life and includes precursors to health-promoting phytonutrients, drugs, and industrial raw materials. Thus, metabolic engineering of the MEP pathway in plants has increased the production of plastidial isoprenoids of nutritional and economic relevance (Botella-Pavía and Rodríguez-Concepción, 2006; DellaPenna and Pogson, 2006). The first reaction of the MEP pathway involves the synthesis of deoxyxylulose 5-phosphate (DXP) from the central metabolic intermediates glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate and pyruvate (Sprenger et al., 1997; Lange et al., 1998; Lois et al., 1998). This reaction is catalyzed by DXP synthase (DXS), an enzyme encoded by the *CLOROPLASTOS ALTERADOS1 (CLA1)/CHILLING-
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**Sensitivity (CHS5)/DXS (At4g15560) gene in Arabidopsis thaliana** (Mandel et al., 1996; Araki et al., 2000; Estévez et al., 2000). The intramolecular rearrangement and reduction of DXP by DXP reductoisomerase (DXR) yields MEP (Kuzuyama et al., 1998; Takahashi et al., 1998). DXR is also encoded by a single *Arabidopsis* gene, At5g62790 (Schwender et al., 1999; Carretero-Paul et al., 2002). MEP is converted to the C5 isoprenoid precursors isopentenyl diphosphate and dimethylallyl diphosphate in five additional steps (reviewed in Rodríguez-Concepción and Boronat, 2002). It is likely that several enzymes share control over the flux of the MEP pathway, with different enzymes exhibiting different degrees of control, as suggested by metabolic control analysis models. Consistent with this, both DXS and DXR have been shown to increase the production of final isoprenoid products when overexpressed in transgenic plants (Lois et al., 2000; Estévez et al., 2001; Mahmoud and Croteau, 2001; Rodríguez-Concepción et al., 2001; Enfissi et al., 2005; Carretero-Paul et al., 2006; Muñoz-Bertomeu et al., 2006). Therefore, the metabolic control analysis term rate-determining can be applied to both enzymes.

In addition to the coarse regulation exerted by changes in gene expression, fine modulation of MEP pathway enzyme abundance takes place at the posttranscriptional level (Laule et al., 2003; Guevara-García et al., 2005; Sauret-Güeto et al., 2006; Flores-Pérez et al., 2008, 2010; Cordoba et al., 2009; Rodríguez-Villalón et al., 2009; Pulido et al., 2012; Hemmerlin, 2013). However, we still know little about the specific mechanisms that determine the levels of active enzymes. All of the MEP pathway enzymes are encoded in the nuclear genome, and the corresponding preproteins are imported into plastids upon their synthesis. Once imported, their plastid targeting peptides are cleaved and the mature proteins are presumed to be folded to their active form. However, protein misfolding is an inevitable process aggravated by environmental stresses, such as heat shock. In response to this problem, protein quality control systems composed of molecular chaperones and...
were calculated to be interactors with high (category B) or very high (category A) confidence. Of the three category B proteins, only one of them (At3g22970) might localize to plastids, but its function is unknown. Here, we report our work with the only category A protein identified, J20 (At4g13830), also named atDJc20 (Miernyk, 2001) and atDJc29 (Rajan and D′Silva, 2009). J20 is a plastid-localized J-protein that was proposed to contribute to photosynthetic efficiency (Chen et al., 2010) (see Supplemental Figure 1 online). The ability of J20 to bind to DXS was confirmed in vitro by pull-down assays (Figure 2A). Bimolecular fluorescence complementation experiments using leek (Allium ampeloprasum) epidermal cells further showed that, in plant cells, these two proteins interacted in plastids (see Supplemental Figure 2 online). To confirm that J20 and DXS were part of the same complex in Arabidopsis, we performed immunoprecipitation experiments using transgenic plants constitutively expressing a green fluorescent protein (GFP)-tagged version of J20 (35S:J20-GFP lines). Untransformed plants and transgenic lines expressing a GFP-fused DXR enzyme (as a control plastidial protein that does not interact with DXS) were also used for immunoprecipitation experiments with both anti-GFP and preimmune sera. DXS was detected only in 35S:J20-GFP samples immunoprecipitated with the anti-GFP antibody, despite the very low level of J20–GFP protein detected in the input samples (Figure 2B). Taken together, these data show that J20 and DXS can efficiently interact in vivo.

Extensive work performed mainly in nonplant systems has previously demonstrated that J-proteins like J20 typically act as adaptors that recognize and deliver protein substrates to Hsp70, a molecular chaperone highly conserved in plants (Miernyk, 2001; Sung et al., 2001; Rajan and D′Silva, 2009; Kampina and Craig, 2010). The J-domain responsible for the interaction with Hsp70 is well conserved in J20 (see Supplemental Figure 1 online), including the His-ProsAsp tripeptide required for interaction with the chaperone (Wall et al., 1994; Tsai and Douglas, 1996). Upon interaction, ATP hydrolysis is stimulated to transfer the protein substrate to the Hsp70 chaperone and to drive conformational changes. To test the prediction that J20 might target DXS to the Hsp70 chaperone, transgenic Arabidopsis plants overexpressing a GFP-tagged DXS enzyme (35S:DXS-GFP lines) were used to immunoprecipitate DXS-containing complexes using an anti-GFP serum. Immunoblot analysis with an antibody specific for chloroplast Hsp70 proteins showed that these chaperones were indeed present in the immunoprecipitated samples (Figure 2C), confirming that DXS and Hsp70 can be found together in vivo.

**Loss of Function of J20 Results in Decreased DXS Activity**

To understand the biological relevance of the interaction of J20 with DXS, we next analyzed whether plants defective in J20 had any DXS-related phenotype. Changes in DXS activity cause altered sensitivity to clomazone (CLM), a specific DXS inhibitor (Zeidler et al., 2000; Carretero-Paulet et al., 2006; Matsue et al., 2010). In Arabidopsis, germination and growth in the presence of CLM result in concentration-dependent bleaching and a concomitant inhibition of true leaf development (Figure 3A), likely due to a reduced production of plastidial isoprenoid products, such as chlorophylls, carotenoids, and MEP-derived hormones (Figure 1). Plants with decreased DXS activity, such as the dxs-3/chs5 mutant (Araki et al., 2000; Phillips et al., 2008), showed lower resistance to CLM.

![Figure 1. Isoprenoid Biosynthesis in Plastids.](image)

The MEP pathway produces the universal isoprenoid precursors isopentenyl diphosphate (IPP) and dimethylallyl diphosphate (DMAPP). The production of DXP from pyruvate and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate (GAP) by the enzyme DXS can be blocked by CLM, whereas the production of MEP from DXR catalyzed by DXR can be inhibited by fosmidomycin (FSM). Condensation of IPP and DMAPP units produces prenyl diphosphates of increasing size, such as geranyl diphosphate (GPP) and geranylgeranyl diphosphate (GGPP), which are the starting points for the biosynthesis of isoprenoid end-products. Dashed open arrows represent multiple biosynthetic steps.

proteolytic complexes have evolved to either refold or eliminate misfolded proteins and thereby maintain protein homeostasis. A number of chaperone and protease systems are found in chloroplasts (Boston et al., 1996; Kato and Sakamoto, 2010; Nordhues et al., 2010), but their relevance for plastidial metabolism in general and isoprenoid biosynthesis in particular awaits further investigation. In this work we report that Arabidopsis J20, a J-protein (or DnaJ-like protein), acts as an adaptor that recognizes inactive forms of DXS and delivers them to the heat shock protein 70 (Hsp70) molecular chaperone. We propose that this mechanism likely drives proper folding of DXS for enzymatic activation while also facilitating the removal of defective forms of the enzyme resulting from environmental stress.

**RESULTS**

**J20 Is a J-Protein That Delivers DXS to Plastidial Hsp70 Chaperones**

Posttranscriptional regulation is a major factor determining the levels of active DXS, the first enzyme of the MEP pathway (Figure 1). To identify protein partners that could posttranslationally modulate DXS activity in Arabidopsis, we performed yeast two-hybrid screening. Among the possible DXS partners identified (see Supplemental Table 1 online), only four proteins were calculated to be interactors with high (category B) or very high (category A) confidence. Of the three category B proteins, only one of them (At3g22970) might localize to plastids, but its function is unknown. Here, we report our work with the only category A protein identified, J20 (At4g13830), also named atDJc20 (Miernyk, 2001) and atDJc29 (Rajan and D′Silva, 2009). J20 is a plastid-localized J-protein that was proposed to contribute to photosynthetic efficiency (Chen et al., 2010) (see Supplemental Figure 1 online). The ability of J20 to bind to DXS was confirmed in vitro by pull-down assays (Figure 2A). Bimolecular fluorescence complementation experiments using leek (Allium ampeloprasum) epidermal cells further showed that, in plant cells, these two proteins interacted in plastids (see Supplemental Figure 2 online). To confirm that J20 and DXS were part of the same complex in Arabidopsis, we performed immunoprecipitation experiments using transgenic plants constitutively expressing a green fluorescent protein (GFP)-tagged version of J20 (35S:J20-GFP lines). Untransformed plants and transgenic lines expressing a GFP-fused DXR enzyme (as a control plastidial protein that does not interact with DXS) were also used for immunoprecipitation experiments with both anti-GFP and preimmune sera. DXS was detected only in 35S:J20-GFP samples immunoprecipitated with the anti-GFP antibody, despite the very low level of J20–GFP protein detected in the input samples (Figure 2B). Taken together, these data show that J20 and DXS can efficiently interact in vivo.

Extensive work performed mainly in nonplant systems has previously demonstrated that J-proteins like J20 typically act as adaptors that recognize and deliver protein substrates to Hsp70, a molecular chaperone highly conserved in plants (Miernyk, 2001; Sung et al., 2001; Rajan and D′Silva, 2009; Kampina and Craig, 2010). The J-domain responsible for the interaction with Hsp70 is well conserved in J20 (see Supplemental Figure 1 online), including the His-Pro-Asp tripeptide required for interaction with the chaperone (Wall et al., 1994; Tsai and Douglas, 1996). Upon interaction, ATP hydrolysis is stimulated to transfer the protein substrate to the Hsp70 chaperone and to drive conformational changes. To test the prediction that J20 might target DXS to the Hsp70 chaperone, transgenic Arabidopsis plants overexpressing a GFP-tagged DXS enzyme (35S:DXS-GFP lines) were used to immunoprecipitate DXS-containing complexes using an anti-GFP serum. Immunoblot analysis with an antibody specific for chloroplast Hsp70 proteins showed that these chaperones were indeed present in the immunoprecipitated samples (Figure 2C), confirming that DXS and Hsp70 can be found together in vivo.

**Loss of Function of J20 Results in Decreased DXS Activity**

To understand the biological relevance of the interaction of J20 with DXS, we next analyzed whether plants defective in J20 had any DXS-related phenotype. Changes in DXS activity cause altered sensitivity to clomazone (CLM), a specific DXS inhibitor (Zeidler et al., 2000; Carretero-Paulet et al., 2006; Matsue et al., 2010). In Arabidopsis, germination and growth in the presence of CLM result in concentration-dependent bleaching and a concomitant inhibition of true leaf development (Figure 3A), likely due to a reduced production of plastidial isoprenoid products, such as chlorophylls, carotenoids, and MEP-derived hormones (Figure 1). Plants with decreased DXS activity, such as the dxs-3/chs5 mutant (Araki et al., 2000; Phillips et al., 2008), showed lower resistance to CLM.
(i.e., produced less chlorophyll when treated with the inhibitor) than the wild type, whereas DXS-overexpressing lines displayed reduced sensitivity to the inhibitor (see Supplemental Figure 3 online). Because a good correlation exists between CLM sensitivity and total DXS activity in *Arabidopsis* (see Supplemental Figure 3 online), we used this CLM assay to evaluate whether the loss of *J20* function resulted in altered DXS activity. We first analyzed the Syngenta *Arabidopsis* Insertion Library line SAIL_1179_E04 harboring a T-DNA insertion in the first exon of *J20* (see Supplemental Figure 4A online), here referred to as *j20-1*. Wild-type and *j20-1* plants were germinated and grown on Murashige and Skoog (MS) plates supplemented with different concentrations of the inhibitor (Figure 3A). The visual phenotype of the *j20-1* mutant at a given concentration of CLM was similar to that of wild-type seedlings grown in the presence of higher concentrations of the inhibitor, indicating that the loss of *J20* activity causes an increased sensitivity to CLM. Quantification of CLM sensitivity by measuring chlorophyll levels in CLM-treated plants led to the same conclusion (Figure 3B; see Supplemental Figure 3 online). Measurement of DXS activity in wild-type and *j20-1* seedlings (Figure 4A) confirmed that the decreased CLM resistance phenotype of the mutant was caused by a substantial reduction in DXS activity (see Supplemental Figure 3 online). Because determining DXS activity in plant extracts is complicated, from this point we only used the CLM sensitivity assay to estimate DXS activity in vivo. Two independent lines of evidence confirmed that the phenotype of the *j20-1* mutant line was specifically caused by the loss of *J20* activity. First, independent T-DNA insertion alleles from the Syngenta *Arabidopsis* Insertion Library and the Salk collections, herein referred to as *j20-2* (SAIL_569_H08) and *j20-3* (Salk_134365) (Chen et al., 2010), also showed CLM sensitivity (see Supplemental Figure 4A online). Second, transformation of *j20-1* plants with the 35S:*J20-GFP* construct complemented the CLM sensitivity phenotype of the mutant (see Supplemental Figure 4B online).

Figure 2. DXS Interacts with J20.
(A) In vitro pull-down assay using 35S-labeled proteins DXS-His (immobilized on beads) and J20. Autoradiography of a SDS-PAGE gel loaded with the indicated samples before (input) and after the pull-down is shown.
(B) Immunoprecipitation of DXS from 35S:*J20-GFP* plants expressing J20 fused to GFP. Protein extracts were obtained from 35S:*J20-GFP* plants, control 35S:DXR-GFP plants (producing another plastidial GFP-tagged protein, DXR-GFP) and wild-type (WT) plants. An aliquot (input) was used to test protein levels by immunoblot analysis using antibodies against GFP (α-GFP) and DXS (α-DXS). The same α-GFP blot exposed for 10 and 60 min is shown to estimate the difference between the levels of *J20*-GFP (low) versus DXR-GFP (high). The rest of the extracts were used for immunoprecipitation experiments with either preimmune serum (P) or the commercial anti-GFP antibody. Immunoprecipitated samples were used for immunoblot analysis to detect the presence of the DXS protein. The position of the cross-reactive heavy chain of IgG is also indicated.
(C) Immunoblot analysis of samples obtained before (input) and after immunoprecipitation experiments using 35S:DXS-GFP plants expressing DXS fused to GFP. Immunodetection was performed with an antibody specific for plastidial Hsp70 chaperones (α-Hsp70). The position of IgG is also marked.
There are more than 100 J-domain proteins in Arabidopsis, and several of them are targeted to plastids (Miernyk, 2001; Rajan and D’Silva, 2009; Chen et al., 2010). However, sequence analyses showed that J20 is more similar to J-proteins of other plant species than to the closest Arabidopsis J-domain proteins, J8, J11, and J41 (see Supplemental Figure 5A and Supplemental Data Set 1 online). Arabidopsis mutants impaired in J8 (At1g80920) or J11 (At4g36040) did not show the characteristic CLM sensitivity phenotype observed in J20-defective plants (see Supplemental Figure 5B online). Because the similarity between J20 and other plastidial J-proteins from Arabidopsis, including J8 and J11, is much lower at the C-terminal domain (see Supplemental Figure 1 online), which provides substrate specificity (Rajan and D’Silva, 2009), it is likely that this domain might confer specificity on J20, allowing it to interact with DXS to deliver the protein to the Hsp70 chaperone. Two plastidial (stromal) Hsp70 chaperones, cplHsp70.1 (At4g24280) and cplHsp70.2 (At5g49910), are found in Arabidopsis (Su and Li, 2008). If J20 and Hsp70 are part of the same mechanism regulating DXS activity, it should follow that mutants defective in plastidial Hsp70 activity also show a phenotype of higher sensitivity to CLM. Since mutants defective in both cplHsp70.1 and cplHsp70.2 are not viable (Su and Li, 2008), we analyzed single mutant lines. As shown in Figure 3, both single mutants showed a phenotype of increased CLM sensitivity relative to the wild type, although this phenotype was stronger in the case of the cplhsp70.1 mutant. These results together demonstrate that loss of J20 function leads to reduced DXS activity (and, hence, CLM sensitivity) in vivo, most likely because it impairs targeting of this enzyme to the plastidial Hsp70 chaperone system.

**Mutants Defective in J20 Show Posttranscriptional Accumulation of Inactive DXS in Chloroplasts**

Hsp70 chaperones participate in protein import into organelles (including plastids), protein folding and assembly, and protein quality control. If J20 activity is required for the import of DXS into plastids, the decrease in enzyme activity observed in j20-1 plants might be a result of reduced DXS protein levels in plastids. To address this possibility, DXS levels were analyzed in chloroplasts isolated from wild-type and mutant j20-1 plants. Strikingly, immunoblot experiments with an anti-DXS antibody showed not decreased but increased levels of DXS protein in mutant chloroplasts (Figure 4B). This result suggests that loss of J20 function impairs processes occurring after the import of DXS, resulting in lower DXS activity but higher protein levels.

**Figure 3.** Mutants Defective in J20 or Individual Plastidial Hsp70 Chaperones Are Sensitive to CLM.

(A) Representative pictures of wild-type (WT) and j20-1, cplhsp70.1, and cplhsp70.2 mutant plants germinated and grown for 10 d on MS medium containing the indicated concentrations of CLM. (B) Total chlorophyll levels in samples like those shown in (A). Data are represented relative to those in wild-type plants grown in the absence of CLM. Mean and se values of n = 5 independent experiments are shown (Student’s t test: *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01).

**Figure 4.** Loss of J20 Function Results in Lower DXS Activity but Higher Protein Levels.

(A) DXS activity levels in 10-d-old wild-type (WT) and mutant (j20-1) plants grown on MS plates. Values represent means and se of n = 3 independent samples (Student’s t test: **P < 0.01). DW, dry weight. (B) Immunoblot analysis of DXS protein levels in chloroplasts isolated from wild-type and j20-1 plants grown on MS plates for 2 weeks. (C) Levels of DXS and DXR in samples like those described in (A). RNA samples were used for quantitative PCR analysis of DXS transcript levels using the APT1 gene as a normalizer. Protein samples extracted from the same plants were used for immunoblot analysis of DXS and DXR protein levels. The graph shows mean and se values of n = 3 experiments (Student’s t test: **P < 0.01). Representative images of immunoblot analyses and a Coomassie blue staining of the blots (loading control [LC]) are also shown.
the accumulation of inactive DXS protein in plastids. Consistent with this, the levels of DXS protein in total protein extracts of j20-1 plants were threefold higher than those in the wild type without changes in the levels of DXS-encoding transcripts (Figure 4C). Although previous results have shown that posttranscriptional mechanisms regulating the accumulation of DXS protein can also affect the levels of DXR, the next enzyme of the MEP pathway (Guevara-García et al., 2005; Sauret-Güeto et al., 2006; Flores-Pérez et al., 2008), the amount of DXR protein detected in the same protein extracts showed no differences between mutant and wild-type plants (Figure 4C). In agreement with the presence of similar levels of DXR activity in wild-type and j20-1 plants, no differences were observed in their sensitivity to the DXR-specific inhibitor fosmidomycin (see Supplemental Figure 4C online). These results suggest that J20 is not involved in regulating DXR levels or activity. Neither DXR nor DXS levels changed when the activity of close J20-related J-proteins, such as J8 or J11, was disrupted in mutants (see Supplemental Figure 5C online).

To provide additional experimental support to the conclusion that defective J20 activity results in posttranscriptional accumulation of inactive DXS protein inside chloroplasts, a transgenic 35S:DXS-GFP line generated in the wild-type ecotype Columbia was selected to introgress the transgene into the j20-1 background. After the cross, F3 siblings that were double homozygous for the transgene (based on marker gene resistance) and either the wild-type or the mutant J20 gene (based on PCR-based genotyping) were selected. In agreement with the results described above, confocal laser scanning microscopy of GFP fluorescence showed that the DXS-GFP protein was localized to chloroplasts of siblings with either wild-type or mutant J20 genes, but the levels were much higher in mutant plastids (Figure 5A). Interestingly, a spotted pattern of DXS-GFP fluorescence was observed in both types of siblings even though spots were much larger in the case of the mutant. This might be caused by the formation of DXS-GFP aggregates, although we cannot exclude other possibilities, such as the accumulation of the transgenic protein in subplastidial structures. Such aggregates (or structures) would most likely contain DXS in an inactive form because transgenic siblings of the j20-1 background showed reduced resistance to OLM (Figure 5B) despite accumulating increased levels of constitutively expressed DXS-GFP protein (Figure 5C) compared with siblings with functional J20 protein.

**J20 Might Promote the Degradation of Damaged DXS Proteins after Heat Stress**

The results described above suggest that under normal growth conditions, J20 prevents the accumulation of inactive forms of DXS in chloroplasts. If the main role of J20 is to identify non-native DXS polypeptides and deliver them to Hsp70 for proper folding or refolding (i.e., enzyme activation), it could be expected that upregulating J20 would result in improved DXS activity. However, the presence of increasing levels of a functional GFP-tagged version of J20 (see Supplemental Figure 4B online) in different 35S:j20-GFP lines led to reduced DXS levels and a bleached phenotype (Figure 6A; see Supplemental Table 2 online). Transgenic lines with highest J20-GFP levels showed an albino phenotype similar to that of the DXS-defective dxs-1/cla1 mutant (Mandel et al., 1996; Estévez et al., 2000; Phillips et al., 2008). Despite this dramatic phenotypic effect, no major changes in the levels of other proteins like DXR or Hsp70 isoforms were detected in 35S:j20-GFP lines relative to wild-type (untransformed) or mutant dxs-1/cla1 plants (Figure 6A). These results suggest that abnormally high levels of J20 prevent the accumulation of DXS polypeptides without altering the levels of other plastidial proteins.

To evaluate whether J20 might contribute to degrade DXS polypeptides that could be damaged (misfolded) following environmental stress, plants growing at 22°C were transferred to 45°C and samples were collected at different times after the heat shock for immunoblot analysis of DXS protein levels. As shown in Figure 6B, DXS was rapidly degraded after the heat shock in the wild type, whereas the degradation rate was slower in mutant plants. By contrast, DXR was more stable after a heat shock and its levels remained similar in wild-type and j20-1 plants (Figure 6C). The levels of plastidial Hsp70 proteins also remained unchanged in wild-type and mutant plants during this period (Figure 6D), supporting the conclusion that the rate of DXS degradation depends on the levels of J20 but not of Hsp70 chaperones. Together, our data suggest that J20 (via Hsp70) might promote degradation of DXS proteins that are damaged (misfolded) and, hence, inactivated after a heat stress episode.

**DISCUSSION**

Plastids provide fundamental and unique biochemical pathways for plant cells, including the essential MEP pathway for the production of isoprenoids required for photosynthesis, photoprotection, and plant development. It is widely accepted that plastids, like mitochondria, were acquired by a symbiosis between the ancestors of eukaryotic cells and prokaryotic organisms early during evolution. However, they still remain as separate functional entities that regulate their own biochemistry in a relatively independent way. An important part of this regulation deals with the control of enzyme activities inside plastids. Most enzymes participating in plastidial metabolism, including those of the MEP pathway, are encoded by nuclear genes, synthesized in precursor form in the cytosol, and transported into plastids using intricate energy-dependent import machineries assisted by Hsp70 and several other chaperones and cochaperones (Rodríguez-Concepción and Boronat, 2002; Shi and Theg, 2010; Su and Li, 2010; Flores-Pérez and Jarvis, 2013). Upon import and arrival in the stroma, plastidial Hsp70 chaperones also appear to contribute to the folding, assembly, or onward intraorganellar guidance of these plastid-targeted proteins (Yalovsky et al., 1992; Madueño et al., 1993; Tsugeki and Nishimura, 1993; Liu et al., 2007; Nordhues et al., 2010). Once in their final, active form, the enzymes must be maintained in a functional state despite multiple stresses. This process, referred to as protein quality control, involves different mechanisms to deal with damaged (misfolded) proteins either by stabilization, refolding, or degradation. Misfolding in the plastid context can be caused by metabolic perturbation or environmental stress, such as excess light, temperature peaks, etc. (Wickner et al., 1999; Huang et al., 2001). Hsp70 chaperones
prevent aggregation of misfolded proteins and, together with other chaperones, promote the solubilization of toxic protein aggregates. Additionally, Hsp70 chaperones facilitate the transfer of client proteins to proteolytic systems (Hayes and Dice, 1996; Lee et al., 2009; Kamping and Craig, 2010; Nordhues et al., 2010; Voos, 2013).

The specificity of Hsp70 chaperones to function in distinct processes is determined by their partner J-proteins, which act as adaptors that recognize particular substrates and transfer them to the chaperone. Most organisms typically contain a few Hsp70 isoforms but a much higher number of J-proteins in different cell compartments. For example, the Arabidopsis genome contains 14 genes encoding Hsp70 chaperones (two of them plastidial) but more than 100 genes encoding J-proteins, including several plastidial isoforms (Lin et al., 2001; Miernyk, 2001; Ratnayake et al., 2008; Su and Li, 2008; Rajan and D’Silva, 2009; Chen et al., 2010). Thus, it is expected that one Hsp70 interacts with different J-proteins to perform specific functions. Based on emerging research, it was concluded that chloroplast-localized J-proteins might assist in the folding/unfolding and assembly/disassembly of proteins involved in processes such as photosynthetic efficiency (Chen et al., 2010), thylakoid biogenesis (Liu et al., 2007; Tanz et al., 2012), chloroplast division (Vitha et al., 2003), and chromoplast differentiation (Lu et al., 2006). However, the client proteins and physiological roles of most plastidic J-proteins remain largely unknown. Here, we report that the plastidial J-protein J20 interacts with DXS, the first enzyme of the MEP pathway, and delivers it to the Hsp70 chaperone. J20 has a unique substrate binding C-terminal domain (see Supplemental Figure 1 online) and belongs to a class of J-proteins (class III) that typically bind one or a few proteins (Craig et al., 2006; Rajan and D’Silva, 2009).

Analysis of mutant plants defective in J20 and two other similar plastidial proteins (J8 and J11) led to the proposal of a role for these J-proteins in optimization of photosynthesis, redox regulation, and tolerance to oxidative stress (Chen et al., 2010). In addition to playing partially redundant roles, it was concluded that each protein also had specific functions. In particular, J11 and J20 were proposed to have a predominant role in the stabilization of photosynthetic pigment-protein complexes of photosystem II. The stability of such complexes was found to be lower in the mutants after exposure to high light, and the same was observed for photosystem II dimers. Additionally, mutants accumulated lower levels of ribulose-1,5-bis-phosphate carboxylase/oxygenase activase when grown in the light, suggesting a possible role of these J-proteins in the folding or assembly of the enzyme (Chen et al., 2010). However, no evidence of direct physical interaction with any client protein was provided. Here, we demonstrate that defects in the activity of J20, but not J8 or J11, cause enhanced accumulation of inactive DXS polypeptides but reduced DXS activity. The decrease in DXS activity observed in J20-defective mutants might result in lower levels of MEP-derived isoprenoids relevant for photosynthesis under some environmental conditions.

**Figure 5.** Defective J20 Activity Results in Posttranscriptional Accumulation of Inactive DXS Protein in Chloroplasts.

(A) Confocal microscopy detection of GFP (green) and chlorophyll (red) fluorescence in chloroplasts of siblings harboring the same T-DNA insertion with the 35S:DXS-GFP construct in a wild-type (WT) or J20-defective (j20-1) background. The images were obtained with the same confocal parameters.

(B) CLM resistance of the transgenic 35S:DXS-GFP lines described in (A). Plants were germinated and grown for 10 d on MS either supplemented or not with 3 μM CLM. The bottom panel shows the quantification of CLM resistance represented as the levels of chlorophylls in the plants shown in the top panels. Data are represented relative to those in plants with a wild-type J20 gene grown without CLM. Mean and SE values of n = 3 independent experiments are shown (Student’s t-test: *P < 0.01).

(C) Immunoblot analysis of DXS-GFP levels in the samples described in (B). The graph shows mean and SE values of n = 3 experiments (Student’s t-test: *P < 0.05). Representative images of a blot decorated with anti-GFP serum and stained with Coomassie blue (loading control [LC]) are also shown.
conditions, such as high light. This could lead to some of the phenotypes previously described for the mutant, including the limited photosynthetic performance (Chen et al., 2010). However, we cannot exclude that J20 might participate in other processes unrelated to the MEP pathway that could explain the redundant functions with J8 and J11.

Based on the experimental evidence reported here, we propose the model represented in Figure 7. Under normal growth conditions, J20 might recognize DXS polypeptides that remain unfolded after plastid import or become misfolded upon ordinary perturbations, transferring them to Hsp70 chaperones for refolding and, hence, enzyme activation. In response to more severe conditions (such as heat shock), DXS polypeptides might be prone to rapid misfolding or denaturation, overwhelming the capacity of the J20/Hsp70 system to repair them. In this context, J20 might target inactive DXS enzymes for proteolytic removal (Figure 7). It is likely that an abnormal increase in J20 activity in transgenic 35S::J20-GFP plants might also result in an increased degradation rate of DXS even under optimal growth conditions, eventually resulting in reduced levels of the enzyme (Figure 6; see Supplemental Table 2 online). The fact that DXS polypeptides were still detected in plants with the strongest J20-GFP accumulation suggests that a portion of DXS would not be degraded but it might remain associated with the chimeric J-protein, hence preventing enzyme activity. This possibility would explain the bleached phenotype of the 35S::J20-GFP plants (the expected

Figure 6. J20 Promotes Degradation of DXS after a Heat Shock.

(A) Phenotype of 2-week-old plants from different 35S::J20-GFP lines compared with the wild-type (WT) and a DXS-deficient (dxs-1) mutant. Representative images of immunoblot analyses of J20-GFP, DXS, plastidial Hsp70, and DXR protein levels in these plants, as well as a Coomassie blue staining of the blots (loading control [LC]), are also shown.

(B) to (D) Immunoblot analysis of DXS (B), DXR (C), and plastidial Hsp70 (D) protein levels after a heat shock. Wild-type and mutant j20-1 plants grown for 10 d at 22°C were transferred to 45°C and samples were collected after the indicated times (min, x axis). Representative blots and quantitative data corresponding to the mean and se values of n ≥ 6 independent experiments are shown (Student’s t test: *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01).

[See online article for color version of this figure.]
For proteolytic degradation. Hsp70 system. In this context, J20 might target inactive DXS enzymes for refolding and, hence, enzyme activation. Upon heat shock or other severe stress, active DXS polypeptides might undergo rapid misfolding or denaturation and overwhelm the repair capacity of the J20/Hsp70 system. In this context, J20 might target inactive DXS enzymes for proteolytic degradation.

Figure 7. A Model for the Contribution of J20 and Hsp70 Chaperones to Modulating the Levels of Active DXS Enzymes.

The mode of action of J20 and plastidial Hsp70 chaperones is based on previous J-protein/Hsp70 models basically developed from in vitro refolding studies of denatured proteins (Rajan and D'Silva, 2009; Kampinga and Craig, 2010). The rest of the model is based on the data reported in this work. J20 might normally recognize inactive DXS polypeptides that remain unfolded after plastid import or become misfolded upon ordinary perturbations, transferring them to Hsp70 chaperones for refolding and, hence, enzyme activation. Upon heat shock or other severe stress, active DXS polypeptides might undergo rapid misfolding or denaturation and overwhelm the repair capacity of the J20/Hsp70 system. In this context, J20 might target inactive DXS enzymes for proteolytic degradation.

Enzymes were found in mutants with decreased activity of the stromal Clp protease complex (Flores-Pérez et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2009; Zybailov et al., 2009). However, several proteases could be involved in the proteolytic removal of DXS, as reported for the plastidial D1 protein, which is degraded by FtsH and Deg proteases (Kato et al., 2012). Consistent with this, bacterial Hsp70 assists in the degradation of unfolded proteins mediated by FtsH (Rodriguez et al., 2008) and Lon (Sakr et al., 2010) proteases.

Understanding how plants regulate their plastidial metabolism under normal growth conditions but also in response to environmental challenges, such as temperature stress, is of both basic and applied importance. Interestingly, the ~50% decrease in DXS activity measured in J20-defective plants (Figure 4A) did not have an effect on the production of chlorophylls (Figure 3B) or the visual phenotype of j20-1 (Figure 3A; see Supplemental Figure 4 online) or j20-3 (Chen et al., 2010) mutants compared with wild-type plants grown under normal conditions. This is consistent with the lack of phenotypic changes observed in heterozygous individuals for the knockout dxs-1/cla1 mutation (which have a 50% reduction in the no. of functional DXS copies) or transgenic lines with antisense-mediated reduction of DXS levels relative to wild-type siblings (Mandel et al., 1996; Estévez et al., 2001). A reduction of DXS activity levels was also observed in the knockdown dxs-3/chs5 mutant (see Supplemental Figure 3 online), which displays a wild-type phenotype when grown under normal conditions and shows bleaching only when transferred to restrictive temperatures (Araki et al., 2000; Phillips et al., 2008). It is therefore likely that a strong reduction in DXS activity does not compromise growth of Arabidopsis plants under optimal conditions, but it may be relevant in response to stress (like temperature changes). Indeed, the high susceptibility of DXS to proteolytic degradation after a heat shock (Figure 6B) might have a regulatory function for isoprenoid biosynthesis. To date, the overexpression of DXS and other enzymes of the MEP pathway has only partially successful in increasing the production of plastidial isoprenoids of interest, in part because posttranscriptional regulation might prevent substantial increases in enzyme activities. The activity of MEP pathway enzymes has been found to be modulated by feedback regulation by pathway intermediates, phosphorylation, redox control, and, as supported by our data, proteolytic degradation in the plastid (Rodríguez-Concepción, 2006; Cordoba et al., 2009; Hemmerlin, 2013). The work reported here further implicates a J-protein (J20) and Hsp70 chaperones in the posttranscriptional regulation of DXS activity. The plastidial Hsp70 system appears to contribute to the regulation of MEP-derived isoprenoid biosynthesis in several steps. For example, the cauliflower (Brassica oleracea) orange mutation was mapped to a gene encoding a plastidial J-protein presumably involved in the differentiation of chloroplasts and the biosynthesis of carotenoids (Lu et al., 2006), and carotenoid biosynthetic enzymes were found in Hsp70-containing complexes in Narcissus pseudonarcissus chloroplasts (Al-Babili et al., 1996; Bonk et al., 1996). More knowledge of how protein quality control systems regulate enzyme activity in plastids should inform decisions in future biotechnological approaches aimed at manipulating the levels of plastidial metabolites in crop plants.
**METHODS**

**Plant Material and Growth Conditions**

All *Arabidopsis thaliana* lines used in this work are in the Columbia background. Seeds from cpshp70.1 and cpshp70.2 (Su and Li, 2008) were kindly provided by Hsou-min Li (Academia Sinica, Taiwan), whereas those from j20-3, j8, and j11 mutants (Chen et al., 2010) were kindly provided by Eva-Mari Aro (University of Turku, Finland). The T-DNA insertion lines SAIL_1179_E04 (j20-1) and SAIL_569_H08 (j20-2) were obtained from the European Stock Centre. For the generation of transgenic plants, full-length cDNAs encoding Arabidopsis DXS or DXR were cloned into pB7FWG2 (Karimi et al., 2002) and J20 into pCAMBIA1302, respectively. Constructs and primers are described in detail in Supplemental Tables 3 and 4 online. The constructs, encoding the chimeric proteins DXS-GFP, DXR-GFP, and J20-GFP under the control of the constitutive 35S promoter, were used for Agrobacterium tumefaciens– mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis* plants. Homozygous lines containing a single T-DNA insertion were selected based on the segregation of the resistance marker (glufosinate or hygromycin, respectively). Seeds were surface-sterilized and germinated in Petri dishes with solid MS medium. When indicated, plates were supplemented with the indicated concentrations of CLM or fosmidomycin. After stratification for 3 d at 4°C, plates were incubated in a growth chamber at 22°C under long days (8 h fluorescent white light at a PPFD of 60 μmol m−2 s−1). Heat stress experiments were performed by directly transferring plates with 10-d-old seedlings from 22 to 45°C.

**Protein–Protein Interaction Analyses**

The yeast two-hybrid screen was performed by Hybrigenics using the Arabidopsis RP1 library (see Supplemental Table 1 online). A recombinant Arabidopsis DXS protein lacking the transit peptide and fused to a C-terminal 6×His-tag (from clone pET-DXS-His; see Supplemental Table 3 online) was used as a bait (N-LexA). The down assay was performed following a previously described protocol (Ni et al., 1999). Briefly, constructs pET-DXS-His and pBS-J20 (see Supplemental Table 3 online) were used to synthesize 35S-labeled proteins with the Tnt system (Promega). The DXS-His protein was bound to agarose beads and incubated with pre cleared J20 Tnt mix maintaining roughly the same amounts of labeled DXS-His and J20 protein. Following incubation at 4°C for 4 h, agarose beads were pelleted and washed, and the attached proteins were separated and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. The gel was dried and exposed to a phosphor imager screen. For bimolecular fluorescence complementation experiments, cDNA sequences encoding full-length DXS and J20 proteins were cloned in appropriate vectors (Ohad et al., 2007) (see Supplemental Table 3 online). Leek (Allium ampeloprasum) epidermal peels were microbanded with DNA-coated 1 μM gold microcarriers using a Biologic PDS-1000/He system (Bio-Rad) and incubated at 22°C in the dark for 24 h prior to observation with a Leica TCS 4D confocal laser scanning microscope.

**Protein Extraction, Immunoblot Analysis, and Immunoprecipitation**

Whole plants or chloroplasts isolated as described (Flores-Pérez et al., 2008) were used for protein extraction and immunoblot analysis using antibodies against Arabidopsis DXS (developed against the recombinant protein from construct pET-DXS-His by Abyntek), maize (Zea mays) DXR (Hans et al., 2004) (a kind gift of Michael H. Walter, Leibniz Institute of Plant Biochemistry), chloroplast Hsp70 (Agrisera), or GFP (Invitrogen). The preimmune serum was isolated from rabbits used for the production of the DXS antibody (Abyntek). Total plant protein extracts were obtained by grinding samples in liquid nitrogen. The powder was resuspended in 100 μL of ice-cold TKMES homogenization buffer (100 mM Tricine-potassium hydroxide, pH 7.5, 10 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EDTA, and 10% [w/v] Suc) supplemented with 0.2% (v/v) Triton X-100, 1 mM DTT, 100 μg/mL phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 3 μg/mL E64, and 20 μL/mL protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich). The resuspended sample was centrifuged at 2300g for 10 min at 4°C and the supernatant recovered for a second step of centrifugation. Supernatant protein concentration was determined using the Bio-Rad protein assay. After SDS-PAGE, the proteins were electrotransferred to Hybond-P polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Amer sham) as described (Rodríguez-Concepción et al., 2001). After the protein transfer was completed, membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with the corresponding primary antibody (diluted 1:500 for DXS, 1:6000 for DXR, 1:6000 for Hsp70, and 1:2000 for GFP). Incubation with the horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (diluted 1:10,000) was performed for 30 min at room temperature. Detection of immunoreactive bands was performed using the ECL Plus reagent (Amer sham). Chemiluminescent signals were visualized using a LAS-4000 image analyzer (Fujifilm) and quantified with Quantity One (Bio-Rad). Student’s t test was used to assess statistical significance of quantified differences.

For immunoprecipitation experiments, ~4 g of tissue from 2-week-old plants was ground in liquid nitrogen and incubated in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 1% [w/v] Nonidet P-40, and 0.1% [w/v] SDS) for 30 min at 4°C on a rotating platform. After centrifugation at 14,000g for 30 min at 4°C, supernatant was filtered through Miracloth (Calbiochem) twice and mixed with Sepharose beads containing the appropriate antibody, previously prepared by overnight incubation of 5 μL of antibody and 100 μL of Sepharose beads (Healthcare) in 10 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7, 150 mM NaCl, and 10 mM EDTA. After incubation for 4 h at 4°C, the mixture was centrifuged (3000g, 2 min, 4°C) and the pellet washed four to five times with RIPA buffer without detergents. To visualize the protein complexed to the antibody in the washed beads, 100 μL of protein loading buffer was added to the last pellet and the mixture was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblot.

**RNA Isolation and Quantitative PCR Analysis**

Total RNA was isolated using a RNA purification kit (Sigma-Aldrich) and reverse-transcribed using SuperScript II (Invitrogen). The quantitative PCR experiments were performed as described (Rodríguez-Villalón et al., 2009) using Fast Start Universal SYBR Green Master Mix (Roche) on a Light Cycler 480 apparatus (Roche). The APT7 (At1g27450) gene was used for normalization. Primer sequences for quantitative PCR reactions are listed in Supplemental Table 4 online.

**DXS Enzyme Activity and Chlorophyll Determination**

DXS enzyme activity was measured in 10-d-old seedlings grown on MS plates. Whole seedlings were ground in liquid nitrogen and lyophilized overnight. Lyophilized tissue (5 mg) was extracted in 1 mL of extraction buffer at 4°C containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 0.5% (v/v) Tween 20, 1% (w/v) polyvinylpyrrolidone (average molecular weight = 360,000), 100 μM thiamin pyrophosphate, 10 mM DTT, 1 mM ascorbate, 2 mM imidazole, 1 mM sodium fluoride, 1.15 mM sodium molybdate, and 1% protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich). Extracts were gently mixed at 4°C for 15 min on a rotating wheel, followed by centrifugation at 20,000g for 20 min. A 30-μL aliquot of each supernatant was mixed with 70 μL of enzyme reaction buffer and incubated for 2 h at 30°C. The reaction buffer for DXS activity contained 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, 10 mM MgCl2, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 2.5 mM DTT, 1 mM thiamin pyrophosphate, 10 mM pyruvate, 10 mM glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate, 2 mM imidazole, 1 mM sodium fluoride, 1.15 mM sodium molybdate, and 1% protease inhibitor cocktail. After incubation, 100 μL of chloroform was added to each reaction, and the tubes were vigorously vortexed. The mixture was centrifuged at 13,000g for 5 min, and 45 μL of the aqueous
(upper) phase was transferred to an liquid chromatography vial containing 5 µL [1,2,3-13C3]DXP at 10 ng/µL. The product of DXS (DXP) was separated using a 1200 series liquid chromatograph (Agilent Technologies) as follows. A 20-µL aliquot of the reaction mixture was injected onto a Nucleodex β-OH column (5 µm, 200 × 4 mm; Macherey-Nagel) with a guard column containing the same sorbent equilibrated at 10% solvent A (10 mM ammonium acetate) and 90% solvent B (acetoneitrile). After 5 min of isocratic separation at 10% solvent A, a gradient was initiated, leading to 50% solvent A by 15 min, followed by a wash step with 50% solvent A for 5 min and return to initial conditions and 10 min of further equilibration. Mass selective detection of the eluent was performed using a connected API 3200 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems) operating in negative ionization mode with the following instrument settings: ion spray voltage of −4200 eV, turbo gas temperature of 700°C, nebulizer gas at 70 p.s.i., heating gas at 70 p.s.i., curtain gas at 20 p.s.i., and collision gas at 5 p.s.i. Multiple reaction monitoring was used to monitor analyte precursor ion → quantifier ion: mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) of 212.9 → 78.9 for enzymatically produced DXP and m/z 215.9 → 78.9 for the isotopic labeled [13C3]DXP internal standard (collision energy of −42 V, declustering potential of −20 V, and entrance potential of −7 V). Both Q1 and Q2 quadrupoles were maintained at unit resolution. Analyst 1.5 software (Applied Biosystems) was used for data acquisition and processing. DXS activity was measured by comparing the integrated peak area to that of an external standard curve of authentic DXP ranging from 0.1 to 10 ng/µL. This amount was normalized to the coeluting peak of [1,2,3-13C3]DXP (detected at m/z 215.9) to correct for ion suppression effects. Unlabeled DXP standard was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, and [1,2,3-13C3]DXP was a kind gift from Wolfgang Eisenreich. Photophysical pigments were extracted with 80% (v/v) ice-cold acetone from 50 mg of fresh tissue (whole plants). Chlorophyll determinations were performed as described (Lichtenthaler and Wellburn, 1983).

Phylogenetic Analysis

Sequences were retrieved using the C-terminal domain of Arabidopsis J8, J11, J20, and J41 as queries in BLAST searches on the National Center for Biotechnology Information webpage (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). Sequences lacking the transit peptides predicted with TargetP (www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TargetP) were aligned with Clustal Omega (www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/) (see Supplemental Data Set 1 online), and a phylogenetic tree rooted at midpoint was constructed using the neighbor-joining method in MEGAS (megasoftware.net). The evolutionary distances were computed using the Poisson correction method, and the bootstrap test was selected with 2000 replications.

Accession Numbers

Sequence data from this article can be found in the Arabidopsis Genome Initiative or GenBank/EMBL databases under the following accession numbers: J8, At1g80290; J11, At1g26040; J20, At4g13830; J41, At2g17880; cpHsp70.1, At4g24280; cphsp70.2, At5g49910; DXR, At5g62790; and DXS, At4g15560. Yeast two-hybrid results were submitted to The International Molecular Exchange Consortium (http://www.imexconsortium.org) through IntAct (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/intact/) and assigned the identifier IM-20956.
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